

Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention



Bulky DNA Adducts in White Blood Cells: A Pooled Analysis of 3,600 Subjects

Fulvio Ricceri, Roger W. Godschalk, Marco Peluso, et al.

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2010;19:3174-3181. Published OnlineFirst October 4, 2010.

Updated Version Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:[10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0314](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0314)

Cited Articles This article cites 51 articles, 27 of which you can access for free at:
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/19/12/3174.full.html#ref-list-1>

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.

Research Article

Bulky DNA Adducts in White Blood Cells: A Pooled Analysis of 3,600 Subjects

Fulvio Ricceri^{1,2}, Roger W. Godschalk³, Marco Peluso⁴, David H. Phillips⁵, Antonio Agudo⁶, Panagiotis Georgiadis⁷, Steffen Loft⁸, Anne Tjonneland⁹, Ole Raaschou-Nielsen⁹, Domenico Palli⁴, Frederica Perera¹⁰, Roel Vermeulen¹¹, Emanuela Taioli¹², Radim J. Sram¹³, Armelle Munnia⁴, Fabio Rosa¹, Alessandra Allione¹, Giuseppe Matullo^{1,2}, and Paolo Vineis^{1,14}

Abstract

Background: Bulky DNA adducts are markers of exposure to genotoxic aromatic compounds, which reflect the ability of an individual to metabolically activate carcinogens and to repair DNA damage. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) represent a major class of carcinogens that are capable of forming such adducts. Factors that have been reported to be related to DNA adduct levels include smoking, diet, body mass index (BMI), genetic polymorphisms, the season of collection of biologic material, and air pollutants.

Methods: We pooled 11 studies (3,600 subjects) in which bulky DNA adducts were measured in human white blood cells with similar ³²P-postlabeling techniques and for which a similar set of variables was available, including individual data on age, gender, ethnicity, batch, smoking habits, BMI, and season of blood collection, and a limited set of gene variants.

Results: Lowest DNA adduct levels ($P = 0.006$) were observed in the spring (median = 0.50 adducts per 10⁸ nucleotides), followed by summer (0.64), autumn (0.70), and winter (0.85). The same pattern emerged in multivariate analysis but only among never smokers ($P = 0.02$). Adduct levels were significantly lower ($P = 0.001$) in northern Europe (the Netherlands and Denmark; mean = 0.60, median = 0.40) than in southern Europe (Italy, Spain, France, and Greece; mean = 0.79, median = 0.60).

Conclusions: In this large pooled analysis, we have found only weak associations between bulky DNA adducts and exposure variables. Seasonality (with higher adducts levels in winter) and air pollution may partly explain some of the interarea differences (north vs. south Europe), but most inter-area and inter-individual variations in adduct levels still remain unexplained.

Impact: Our study describes the largest pooled analysis of bulky DNA adducts so far, showing that interindividual variation is still largely unexplained, though seasonality seems to play a role. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*; 19(12); 3174–81. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

Bulky DNA adducts are markers both of exposure to genotoxic aromatic compounds and of the ability of the individual to metabolically activate carcinogens and to

repair DNA damage (1). Experimental studies in animal models have highlighted the central role of DNA adduct formation in tumorigenesis (2), and key human studies have shown that carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) represent a major class of carcinogens present in the environment and that are capable of forming DNA adducts at the same DNA bases in which p53 mutations are found in lung cells of smokers (3). When unrepaired, DNA adducts can cause mutations, including mutational hotspots in the p53 tumor suppressor gene and other genes, which may ultimately induce cancer formation (3).

Human studies have shown a dose–response relationship between occupational exposure to PAHs and the levels of DNA adducts in lymphocytes of workers (4), but at high levels of exposure, saturation seems to occur. Although cigarette smoke also contains PAHs and other DNA adduct-forming compounds, studies on the association between tobacco smoking and DNA adducts in white blood cells (WBC) have yielded inconsistent results

Authors' Affiliations: ¹Human Genetics Foundation (previously at ISI Foundation), Turin, Italy; ²Department of Genetics, Biology and Biochemistry, University of Turin, Italy; ³University of Maastricht, the Netherlands; ⁴ISPO-Cancer Prevention and Research Institute Florence, Italy; ⁵Institute of Cancer Research, Sutton, UK; ⁶Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain; ⁷National Hellenic Research Foundation, Athens, Greece; ⁸University of Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁹Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark; ¹⁰Columbia University, New York; ¹¹University of Utrecht, the Netherlands; ¹²UPMC Cancer Pavilion, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; ¹³Institute of Experimental Medicine AS CR, Prague, Czech Republic; and ¹⁴MRC/HPA Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College, London, UK

Corresponding Author: Paolo Vineis, MRC/HPA Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College, Norfolk Place W2 1PG, London. Phone: 44-20-75943372; Fax: 44-20-75943196. E-mail: p.vineis@imperial.ac.uk

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0314

©2010 American Association for Cancer Research.

Table 1. Description of the studies

Name (references)	Population	n (%) of men ^a	Cells	Smoking habits
EPIC Spain (16, 17)	Spain	296 (50.34)	WBC	NS 174 EX 48 SM 74
Denmark study (20)	Denmark	255 (53.7)	WBC (BE)	NS 9 EX 56 SM 185 5 missing
Turin Bladder Case Control study (8, 21) Unpublished results	Italy	104 (100)	WBC	NS 29 EX 59 SM 24
EPIC Italy (9, 22)	Italy	634 (76.3)	WBC	NS 255 EX 204 SM 171 4 missing
GENAIR (12)	West Europe	1,086 (51.75)	WBC	NS 593 EX 492 1 missing
U.S. study (23, 24)	USA	173 (100)	WBC	NS 32 EX 72 SM 67 2 missing
Greece study (18)	Greece	194 (30)	Lymph	NS 194
The Netherlands study (19)	The Netherlands	41 (34.14)	Lymph	NS 5 SM 35 1 missing
Czech Republic study (11, 25, 26) Unpublished results	Czech Republic	360 (100)	Lymph	NS 330 SM 60
East Europe study (27, 28)	East Europe	354 (100)	Lymph	NS 212 SM 137 5 missing
Spain study (29)	Spain	76 (93)	Lymph	NS 31 EX 45
Total		3,573		

Abbreviations: lymph, lymphocytes; NS, never smokers; EX, ex-smokers; SM, current smokers; BE, butanol enrichment.

^aFrom published work.

(5). In contrast, studies conducted on human lung tissue did show an association with tobacco smoke (4–7). Some studies have reported a negative correlation between DNA adduct levels and the consumption of fruit and vegetables and the intake of flavonoids (8–11), and the dose–response relationship with smoking may be affected by various dietary factors, especially in subjects with certain genetic polymorphisms in metabolic enzymes (5). Other factors that were reported to influence DNA adduct formation included body mass index (BMI), genetic polymorphisms in genes involved in the metabolism of carcinogens, the season in which the WBC/lymphocytes were sampled, and environmental pollutants such as O₃ and particulate matter (PM; refs. 4, 6, 12–14). A study undertaken in New York City after the events of September 11, 2001, found a direct relationship between the amount of DNA adducts in umbilical cord blood of newborn children and proximity to the World Trade Center (15), which suggests that air pollution may be a significant contributor to the formation of DNA adducts in blood.

Seasonality in DNA adduct levels has been observed and may be linked to the variability in air quality and human behavior, determining exposure between, for instance, summer and winter. The same variability with season could also be attributable to dietary habits. It is still insufficiently clear which factors contribute to the large interindividual variation in DNA adduct levels that is observed even when people are apparently exposed to similar doses of genotoxins.

Therefore, we have conducted a large pooled analysis in healthy individuals (~3,600 subjects) recruited in the context of case–control, cross-sectional, or cohort studies, with the purpose of validating or refuting previous findings in a sufficiently powered data set (8, 9, 11, 12, 16–29).

Methods

We have identified 11 study cohorts, investigated in 18 publications, listed in Table 1, in which bulky DNA adducts were measured by ³²P-postlabeling (41), and a similar set of variables was available, including individual data on age, gender, ethnicity, batch, smoking habits, BMI, and season of blood collection, and a limited set of gene variants. We contacted the principal investigators of these studies and had access to the original data sets. The study characteristics are briefly described in Table 1.

In most of the studies, measurement of bulky adducts by ³²P-postlabeling was achieved using the nuclease P1 digestion method of enrichment, although butanol extraction was used in the study of Bak and coworkers (20). In each investigation, subjects were enrolled after signing informed consent. Data sets were transferred to the ISI Foundation for analysis after being anonymized.

There were some differences in the mean levels of adducts among the studies, with the U.S. study showing the highest values (23, 24). This is most likely due to interlaboratory differences rather than to actual, exposure-related differences in DNA adduct levels, which have been expressed in the text as RAL (relative adduct labeling) × 10⁸ bases, if not specified otherwise. We addressed this problem in 3 ways: 1) in the main analysis, data were normalized after pooling, assuming different measurement units in the different laboratories, according to the following formula:

$$RAL_{st} = \frac{(RAL - Mean_{ic})}{SD_{ic}}$$

where RAL is the relative adduct labeling; and Mean_{ic} and SD_{ic} mean and standard deviation of the group of subjects in the *i*th study. The rationale for using normalized values

Table 2. Studies included in the analyses

Study	No. of subjects ^a	RAL	
		Mean	SD
EPIC Spain (WBC)	296	0.83	0.66
Denmark study (WBC)	255	0.23	0.15
Turin Bladder Case Control study (WBC)	104	0.43	0.50
EPIC Italy (WBC)	634	0.78	1.00
GENAIR (WBC)	1,086	0.70	0.55
U.S. study (WBC)	173	6.85	12.56
Greece study (L)	194	1.22	0.89
The Netherlands study (L)	41	1.53	0.56
Czech Republic study (L)	420	1.48	0.85
East Europe study (L)	354	1.06	0.40
Spain study (L)	76	0.23	0.58
Total	3,633	1.13	3.12

Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cells (buffy coat); L, lymphocytes.

^aMean values of RAL expressed as adducts per 10⁸ nucleotides.

and quartiles to standardize genetic pooled analysis has been put forward previously by several authors and this approach has become common practice (4, 30–32). Because after standardization, the skewness of the distribution of RAL_{st} was still high (2.9), we compared standardized values of adduct levels using the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test; 2) we repeated all the statistical analyses excluding the study from the United States in which DNA adduct data were on average 8-fold higher than in the other studies (see Table 2); 3) for those studies in which DNA adduct analyses were conducted in different laboratories but using samples from the same populations (EPIC Spain and GENAIR in Spanish populations and Turin Bladder Case Control study, EPIC Italy, and GENAIR in Italian populations), we have applied analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare the area effect with the laboratory effect.

In addition to descriptive statistics and ANOVA, we stratified univariate analyses and multivariate regression models by smoking habits, excluding those studies in which blood samples were not collected in all seasons (Greece, Czech Republic, and East Europe studies). In the multivariate model, we included sex, age, and seasonality. To control for heterogeneity among studies, we also considered multivariate regression models including the variable "study" as having a random effect. Finally, we conducted a logistic regression analysis in which the response variable was 0 or 1 if the RAL value was below or above the median value, respectively.

All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS software (v.9.1.3).

Results

Table 2 shows the mean (SD) adduct levels for the studies that were included in the analysis. There are

relatively small variations among the studies except for the U.S. cohort that has adduct levels about a factor 8 higher than others. For this reason in the subsequent analyses, we use normalized levels. No statistically significant difference in DNA adduct levels with gender and BMI was observed (Table 3). Age showed a borderline significant association ($P = 0.09$), although no clear trend was observed. Seasonality (i.e., the season in which blood was drawn) and smoking (with higher levels in never smokers) were significantly associated with DNA adducts, $P = 0.006$ and 0.0003 , respectively. Among the genetic variants that were analyzed in these studies, no statistically significant difference in DNA adduct levels with the variant genotypes was found (Table 4).

To verify whether the finding on smoking is true and not an artificial effect due to the statistical correction, we stratified the analysis between studies in which DNA adducts were measured in WBC and those in which they were measured in lymphocytes and we obtained the same trend as in the global analysis.

In the stratified multivariate analysis (Table 5), we observed an effect of seasonality in nonsmokers, with the lowest levels in the spring ($P = 0.02$), and an effect of sex, with women having higher levels, among current smokers ($P = 0.01$). The corresponding odds ratios (above *vs.* below the adduct median) were 0.74 (95% CI = 0.52–1.04) for spring *vs.* winter and 1.40 (95% CI = 0.97–2.00) for women *vs.* men. The R^2 (a measure of variance explained by the model) was very small for all models presented, always less than 0.02. Multivariate analysis for smoking showed a significant negative β value (-0.086 , $P < 0.001$). Multivariate regression analysis including the variable "study" as having a random effect showed essentially similar results. ANOVA was conducted separately for the recruitment centers for which subpopulations were analyzed in different laboratories or in the same

Table 3. Median RAL values according to selected individual characteristics

	No. of subjects	Median RAL (SD)	P
Sex (all)			
Male	2,352	0.83 (3.83)	0.65
Female	1,281	0.60 (0.79)	
Age (all)			
1 quartile	905	1.01 (0.83)	0.09
2 quartile	945	0.70 (1.29)	
3 quartile	872	0.60 (3.29)	
4 quartile	909	0.50 (5.12)	
Season (all)			
Spring	696	0.50 (2.70)	0.006
Summer	599	0.64 (3.82)	
Autumn	764	0.70 (5.06)	
Winter	1,232	0.85 (1.12)	
BMI (EPIC Spain, Greece, GENAIR, EPIC Italy)			
1 quartile	532	0.69 (0.93)	0.91
2 quartile	533	0.60 (0.75)	
3 quartile	537	0.60 (0.70)	
4 quartile	535	0.60 (0.65)	
Smoking status (all)			
Never	1,771	0.88 (1.40)	0.0003
Ex	1,043	0.54 (5.28)	
Current	781	0.67 (1.58)	

NOTE: Univariate analysis. *P* value from the Kruskal–Wallis test, based on RAL standardized values.

Table 4. Median RAL values according to genetic data

	No. of subjects	Median RAL (SD)	P
CYP1A1M1 (EPIC Spain, GENAIR, U.S. study)			
Wt	1,216	0.70 (4.76)	0.68
Het	256	0.80 (4.74)	
Mut	15	0.60 (1.04)	
GSTM1 (EPIC Spain, the Netherlands study, GENAIR, U.S. study, Czech Republic study, East Europe study)			
Null	1,157	0.90 (2.58)	0.39
Present	1,071	0.94 (4.93)	
GSTT1 (EPIC Spain, Greece, Turin Bladder Case Control study, GENAIR, Czech Republic study, East Europe study)			
Null	775	0.91 (0.66)	0.21
Present	1,527	0.80 (0.75)	
MPO (Turin Bladder Case Control study, GENAIR)			
Wt	677	0.60 (0.52)	0.86
Het	406	0.50 (0.56)	
Mut	49	0.60 (0.79)	
NQO1 (Greece, GENAIR)			
Wt	769	0.67 (0.66)	0.87
Het	390	0.64 (0.60)	
Mut	47	0.60 (0.63)	

NOTE: Univariate analysis. *P* value from Kruskal–Wallis test, based on RAL standardized values.

Abbreviations: Wt, wildtype; Het, heterozygous; Mut, homozygous variant; CYP1A1M1, cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily A, polypeptide 1, T6235C transition; GSTT1, glutathione S-transferase theta 1; GSTM1, glutathione S-transferase mu 1; NQO1, NAD(P)H dehydrogenase, quinone; MPO, myeloperoxidase.

Table 5. Univariate and multivariate models

Independent variable	Nonsmokers				Ex-smokers				Current smokers			
	Parameter estimate	SE	P	Model R ²	Parameter estimate	SE	P	Model R ²	Parameter estimate	SE	P	Model R ²
<i>Univariate analysis</i>												
DNA adducts												
Sex (ref: male)	0.064	0.066	0.33	0.001	0.011	0.076	0.88	0.000	0.183	0.077	0.02	0.011
Age (continuous)	-0.001	0.004	0.72	0.000	-0.003	0.003	0.33	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.99	0.000
Season				0.008				0.003				0.000
Spring	-0.218	0.092	0.02		-0.098	0.099	0.32		0.031	0.102	0.76	
Summer	-0.081	0.095	0.39		0.044	0.098	0.65		0.094	0.113	0.40	
Autumn	-0.001	0.091	0.99		0.001	0.099	0.99		0.117	0.103	0.25	
CYP1A1M1	-0.021	0.089	0.81	0.000	0.133	0.107	0.21	0.003	0.021	0.117	0.86	0.000
GSTM1	0.040	0.078	0.61	0.000	0.095	0.082	0.25	0.002	0.079	0.101	0.44	0.005
GSTT1	0.013	0.097	0.89	0.000	-0.062	0.098	0.530	0.001	0.118	0.210	0.570	0.004
MPO	0.031	0.081	0.70	0.000	-0.057	0.069	0.41	0.002	-0.075	0.197	0.71	0.010
NQO1	0.032	0.009	0.71	0.000	-0.068	0.073	0.36	0.002	-	-	-	-
<i>Multivariate model</i>												
DNA adducts				0.009				0.003				0.015
Age (continuous)	-0.002	0.004	0.74		-0.003	0.004	0.39		0.001	0.005	0.86	
Sex	0.062	0.066	0.35		-0.016	0.078	0.84		0.192	0.078	0.01	
Season												
Spring	-0.220	0.092	0.02		-0.096	0.099	0.33		0.011	0.102	0.91	
Summer	-0.085	0.095	0.37		0.040	0.099	0.69		0.097	0.112	0.39	
Autumn	-0.006	0.092	0.95		0.002	0.099	0.99		0.122	0.103	0.24	

NOTE: DNA adducts: dependent variable (standardized values).

Abbreviations: CYP1A1M1, cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily A, polypeptide 1; GSTT1, glutathione S-transferase theta 1; GSTM1, glutathione S-transferase mu 1; NQO1, NAD(P)H dehydrogenase, quinone; MPO, myeloperoxidase.

laboratory at different times (simulating a batch effect; EPIC Spain, Turin Bladder Case Control Study, EPIC Italy, and GENAIR). The effect of center was greater than the effect of batch or laboratory ($F = 9.26$, $P < 0.0001$ for center; $F = 6.65$, $P = 0.0002$ for laboratory).

We also analyzed the nonstandardized RAL values, adjusting for laboratory effect and cell type, across Europe. Adduct levels were 0.60 (median = 0.40, SD = 0.54) in northern Europe (the Netherlands and Denmark) and 0.79 (median = 0.60, SD = 0.84) in southern Europe (Italy, Spain, France, and Greece), with a P value for the difference of 0.001.

Discussion

PAHs are an important class of environmental carcinogens, capable of inducing DNA adducts after metabolic activation (33). These may occur in fried and charcoal-grilled meat or in the food chain as a result of environmental pollution (34–36). As a result, human exposure to PAHs is widespread and may occur via inhalation, ingestion, or via dermal contact. The latter seems less relevant for the general population but may be of relevance in certain groups such as in occupational settings or after treatment with coal tar ointments. These exposures are thought to contribute to cancer incidence in the general population, as the most important targets for PAH carcinogenicity include lung and possibly bladder (1). Some evidence has also been reported for an association between dietary PAHs and colon cancer or adenomas (37, 38). Increased levels of bulky DNA adducts have been detected in the colon mucosa of colon cancer patients and in early stages of colon carcinogenesis (39, 40). More thorough understanding of factors that determine DNA adduct levels may thus contribute to improved preventive measures.

The ^{32}P -postlabeling assay is a complex procedure involving several steps (41). Although guideline protocols have been devised and tested in interlaboratory trials (42), there is no consensus on conditions for analysis or methods for quantitation. For the latter, differences between studies may reside in how DNA adduct levels are calculated from the levels of radioactivity detected on thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates; different approaches include separate assessment of the incorporation of radioactivity into normal nucleotides or determination of the specific activity of the $[\gamma\text{-}^{32}\text{P}]\text{ATP}$ used. It is also not clear which areas of the TLC plates were included in the quantitation; this can be of some importance in cases, such as here, in which DNA adduct patterns may be weak and diffuse. For the purposes of pooled analysis, however, interlaboratory differences can be accommodated by normalizing results, as was done in the present study.

The present study is the largest pooled analysis available on bulky DNA adducts (~3,600 subjects) and shows only weak associations. The analysis restricted to studies having data for every season confirms an association with

the season at the time of blood collection, as suggested in previous smaller studies. In nonsmokers, we found significantly lower DNA adduct levels in spring ($P = 0.02$) than in winter, with a seasonal gradient similar to the one shown for median levels in Table 3. This may have 2 alternative explanations: the first is a protective effect of seasonal dietary intakes such as fresh fruit and vegetables, although this is less likely to peak in the spring when the lowest RAL were observed. Such a protection has been suggested in previous investigations (8–11) but could not be tested directly in the current analysis because the data sets are too heterogeneous in the way dietary data were collected. The second potential explanation is a higher level of bulky adducts in some seasons due to higher levels of exposure to pollution, particularly to particulate-bound PAHs. This can be due to seasonal differences in emissions, weather conditions, and/or outdoor human activity. This hypothesis seems to be supported by some of the previous investigations (33) and is confirmed by a comparison among the areas for which we had adduct measures from different laboratories. In fact, after adjusting for the laboratory effect and cell type, mean adduct levels were 0.60 (median = 0.40, SD = 0.54) in northern Europe (the Netherlands and Denmark) and 0.79 (median = 0.60, SD = 0.84) in southern Europe (Italy, Spain, France, and Greece), a trend that corresponds to the different levels of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and NO₂ that have been observed across Europe. According to a recent comprehensive report, PM_{2.5} concentrations, for example, are clearly greater in cities from southern Europe (with peaks of >40 mg/m³ in Turin, Italy) than in cities from northern Europe (43).

The observation of lower adducts in smokers compared with nonsmokers, is counterintuitive. A first observation can be that current smokers are less represented in our sample. Moreover, nucleotide excision repair capacity is one of the factors that could contribute to individual variation in tobacco-related biomarkers. Previous studies have shown that smokers (particularly current smokers) tended to have more proficient DNA repair capacity (DRC) than nonsmokers, suggesting that smokers may have an adaptive response to DNA damage induced in blood cells by chronic tobacco carcinogen exposure. In particular, higher DRC was shown in smokers in *in vitro*-induced Benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide (BPDE)-adduct repair (44); in oxidative damage repair (45, 46); in 4-aminobiphenyl adduct repair, also related to smoking habits (47); and in the γ -radiation repair model (48). The hypothesis that the induction of DNA damage by smoking can stimulate cellular repair activity could explain the significantly higher DNA adduct levels in nonsmokers than in smokers ($P = 0.0003$) in our pooled analysis.

Recently, it has been shown that phase II enzymes can be induced by PAHs found in cigarette smoke (49). These enzymes are involved in the process of detoxification of numerous carcinogens such as PAHs and aryl- and heterocyclic amines (50), and their induction by tobacco

smoke could be an alternative explanation for the smoking effect in our study, in which preferential induction of phase II enzymes can lead to more rapid clearance of PAHs prior to adduct formation. Moreover, interindividual differences exist in the levels of expression and catalytic activities of a variety of xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes in humans and these phenomena are thought to be critical in understanding the basis of different susceptibilities of individuals to PAH action (51).

Conclusions

In this large pooled analysis, we have reported only weak associations between bulky DNA adducts and exposure variables, namely, seasonality. Most comparisons were negative and also the R^2 of all regression models was extremely small (>0.02), suggesting that

the part of variance explained by these models is very modest. Air pollution may partly explain some of the inter-area differences (between north and south Europe), but most inter-area and inter-individual variations in adduct levels still remain unexplained.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

This study was made possible by the ECNIS grant from the European Union (FOOD-CT-2005-513943) and by the Programma Integrato Oncologia, Italy (P.V.).

Received 03/25/2010; revised 08/27/2010; accepted 09/22/2010; published OnlineFirst 10/04/2010.

References

- Phillips DH. DNA adducts as markers of exposure and risk. *Mutat Res* 2005;577:284–92.
- Balmain A, Harris CC. Carcinogenesis in mouse and human cells: parallels and paradoxes. *Carcinogenesis* 2000;21:371–7.
- Pfeifer GP, Denissenko MF, Olivier M, Tretyakova N, Hecht SS, Hainaut P. Tobacco smoke carcinogens, DNA damage and p53 mutations in smoking-associated cancers. *Oncogene* 2002;21:7435–51.
- Peluso M, Ceppi M, Munnia A, Puntoni R, Parodi S. Analysis of 13 (32) P-DNA postlabeling studies on occupational cohorts exposed to air pollution. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001;153:546–58.
- Phillips DH. Smoking-related DNA and protein adducts in human tissues. *Carcinogenesis* 2002;23:1979–2004.
- Godschalk RW, Feldker DE, Borm PJ, Wouters EF, van Schooten FJ. Body mass index modulates aromatic DNA adduct levels and their persistence in smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002;11:790–3.
- Peluso M, Neri M, Margarino G, Mereu C, Munnia A, Ceppi M, et al. Comparison of DNA adduct levels in nasal mucosa, lymphocytes and bronchial mucosa of cigarette smokers and interaction with metabolic gene polymorphisms. *Carcinogenesis* 2004;25:2459–65.
- Peluso M, Airoidi L, Magagnotti C, Fiorini L, Munnia A, Hautefeuille A, et al. White blood cell DNA adducts and fruit and vegetable consumption in bladder cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2000;21:183–7.
- Palli D, Masala G, Vineis P, Garte S, Saieva C, Krogh V, et al. Biomarkers of dietary intake of micronutrients modulate DNA adduct levels in healthy adults. *Carcinogenesis* 2003;24:739–46.
- Talaska G, Al-Zoughool M, Malaveille C, Fiorini L, Schumann B, Vietas J, et al. Randomized controlled trial: effects of diet on DNA damage in heavy smokers. *Mutagenesis* 2006;21:179–83.
- Sram RJ, Farmer P, Singh R, Garte S, Kalina I, Popov TA, et al. Effect of vitamin levels on biomarkers of exposure and oxidative damage—the XPAH study. *Mutat Res* 2009;672:129–34.
- Peluso M, Munnia A, Hoek G, Krzyzanowski M, Veglia F, Airoidi L, et al. DNA adducts and lung cancer risk: a prospective study. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:8042–8.
- Ketelslegers HB, Gottschalk RW, Godschalk RW, Knaapen AM, van Schooten FJ, Vlietinck RF, et al. Interindividual variations in DNA adduct levels assessed by analysis of multiple genetic polymorphisms in smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006;15:624–9.
- Palli D, Saieva C, Munnia A, Peluso M, Grechi D, Zanna I, et al. DNA adducts and PM(10) exposure in traffic-exposed workers and urban residents from the EPIC-Florence City study. *Sci Total Environ* 2008;403:105–12.
- Kelvin EA, Edwards S, Jedrychowski W, Schleicher RL, Camann D, Tang D, et al. Modulation of the effect of prenatal PAH exposure on PAH-DNA adducts in cord blood by plasma antioxidants. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;18:2262–8.
- Agudo A, Peluso M, Sala N, Capella G, Munnia A, Piro S, et al. Aromatic DNA adducts and polymorphisms in metabolic genes in healthy adults: findings from the EPIC-Spain cohort. *Carcinogenesis* 2009;30:968–76.
- Ibáñez R, Peluso M, Munnia A, Piro S, González C, Amiano P, et al. Aromatic DNA adducts in relation to dietary and other lifestyle factors in Spanish adults. *Eur Food Res Technol* 2009;229:549–59.
- Georgiadis P, Topinka J, Stoikidou M, Kaila S, Gioka M, Katsouyanni K, et al. Biomarkers of genotoxicity of air pollution (the AULIS project): bulky DNA adducts in subjects with moderate to low exposures to airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their relationship to environmental tobacco smoke and other parameters. *Carcinogenesis* 2001;22:1447–57.
- Van Schooten FJ, Godschalk RW, Breedijk A, Maas LM, Kriek E, Sakai H, et al. ^{32}P -postlabelling of aromatic DNA adducts in white blood cells and alveolar macrophages of smokers: saturation at high exposures. *Mutat Res* 1997;378:65–75.
- Bak H, Autrup H, Thomsen BL, Tjonneland A, Overvad K, Vogel U, et al. Bulky DNA adducts as risk indicator of lung cancer in a Danish case-cohort study. *Int J Cancer* 2006;118:1618–22.
- Peluso M, Airoidi L, Armelle M, Martone T, Coda R, Malaveille C, et al. White blood cell DNA adducts, smoking, and NAT2 and GSTM1 genotypes in bladder cancer: a case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1998;7: 341–6.
- Palli D, Masala G, Peluso M, Gaspari L, Krogh V, Munnia A, et al. The effects of diet on DNA bulky adduct levels are strongly modified by GSTM1 genotype: a study on 634 subjects. *Carcinogenesis* 2004;25:577–84.
- Perera FP, Mooney LA, Stampfer M, Phillips DH, Bell DA, Rundle A, et al. Associations between carcinogen-DNA damage, glutathione S-transferase genotypes, and risk of lung cancer in the prospective Physicians' Health Cohort Study. *Carcinogenesis* 2002;23: 1641–6.
- Tang D, Phillips DH, Stampfer M, Mooney LA, Hsu Y, Cho S, et al. Association between carcinogen-DNA adducts in white blood cells and lung cancer risk in the physicians health study. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:6708–12.
- Rossner P Jr, Svecova V, Milcova AL, Lnenickova Z, Solansky I, Santella RM, et al. Oxidative and nitrosative stress markers in bus drivers. *Mutat Res* 2007;617:23–32.
- Topinka J, Sevastyanova O, Binkova B, Chvatalova I, Milcova A, Lnenickova Z, et al. Biomarkers of air pollution exposure—a study of policemen in Prague. *Mutat Res* 2007;624:9–17.

27. Taioli E, Sram RJ, Binkova B, Kalina I, Popov TA, Garte S, et al. Biomarkers of exposure to carcinogenic PAHs and their relationship with environmental factors. *Mutat Res* 2007;620:16–21.
28. Singh R, Sram RJ, Binkova B, Kalina I, Popov TA, Georgieva T, et al. The relationship between biomarkers of oxidative DNA damage, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon DNA adducts, antioxidant status and genetic susceptibility following exposure to environmental air pollution in humans. *Mutat Res* 2007;620:83–92.
29. Castano-Vinyals G, Talaska G, Rothman N, Alguacil J, Garcia-Closas M, Dosemeci M, et al. Bulky DNA adduct formation and risk of bladder cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:2155–9.
30. Bonassi S, Ceppi M, Abbondandolo A. Is human exposure to styrene a cause of cytogenetic damage? A re-analysis of the available evidence. *Biomarkers* 1996;1:217–5.
31. Bonassi S, Znaor A, Ceppi M, Lando C, Chang WP, Holland N, et al. An increased micronucleus frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes predicts the risk of cancer in humans. *Carcinogenesis* 2007;28:625–31.
32. Veglia F, Loft S, Matullo G, Peluso M, Munnia A, Perera F, et al. DNA adducts and cancer risk in prospective studies: a pooled analysis and a meta-analysis. *Carcinogenesis* 2008;29:932–6.
33. Vineis P, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K. Air pollution and cancer: biomarker studies in human populations. *Carcinogenesis* 2005;26:1846–55.
34. Jakszyn P, Agudo A, Ibanez R, Garcia-Closas R, Pera G, Amiano P, et al. Development of a food database of nitrosamines, heterocyclic amines, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *J Nutr* 2004;134:2011–4.
35. Bostrom CE, Gerde P, Hanberg A, Jernstrom B, Johansson C, Kyrklund T, et al. Cancer risk assessment, indicators, and guidelines for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the ambient air. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002;110Suppl3:451–88.
36. Phillips DH. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the diet. *Mutat Res* 1999;443:139–47.
37. Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Ascherio A, Willett WC, et al. Intake of fat, meat, and fiber in relation to risk of colon cancer in men. *Cancer Res* 1994;54:2390–7.
38. Probst-Hensch NM, Sinha R, Longnecker MP, Witte JS, Ingles SA, Frankl HD, et al. Meat preparation and colorectal adenomas in a large sigmoidoscopy-based case-control study in California (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 1997;8:175–83.
39. Pfohl-Leschowicz A, Grosse Y, Carriere V, Cugnenc PH, Berger A, Carnot F, et al. High levels of DNA adducts in human colon are associated with colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 1995;55:5611–6.
40. Scates DK, Venitt S, Phillips RK, Spigelman AD. High pH reduces DNA damage caused by bile from patients with familial adenomatous polyposis: antacids may attenuate duodenal polyposis. *Gut* 1995;36:918–21.
41. Phillips DH, Artt VM. The ³²P-postlabeling assay for DNA adducts. *Nat Protoc* 2007;2:2772–81.
42. Phillips DH, Castegnaro M. Standardization and validation of DNA adduct postlabelling methods: report of interlaboratory trials and production of recommended protocols. *Mutagenesis* 1999;14:301–15.
43. Hazenkamp-von Arx ME, Gotschi Fellmann T, Oglesby L, Ackermann-Liebrich U, Gislason T, Heinrich J, et al. PM2.5 assessment in 21 European study centers of ECRHS II: method and first winter results. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2003;53:617–28.
44. Shen H, Spitz MR, Qiao Y, Guo Z, Wang LE, Bosken CH, et al. Smoking, DNA repair capacity and risk of nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2003;107:84–8.
45. Slysokova J, Dusinska M, Kuricova M, Soucek P, Vodickova L, Susova S, et al. Relationship between the capacity to repair 8-oxoguanine, biomarkers of genotoxicity and individual susceptibility in styrene-exposed workers. *Mutat Res* 2007;634:101–11.
46. Vodicka P, Kumar R, Stetina R, Musak L, Soucek P, Haufroid V, et al. Markers of individual susceptibility and DNA repair rate in workers exposed to xenobiotics in a tire plant. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 2004;44:283–92.
47. Lin J, Kadlubar FF, Spitz MR, Zhao H, Wu X. A modified host cell reactivation assay to measure DNA repair capacity for removing 4-aminobiphenyl adducts: a pilot study of bladder cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:1832–6.
48. Saha DT, Davidson BJ, Wang A, Pollock AJ, Orden RA, Goldman R, et al. Quantification of DNA repair capacity in whole blood of patients with head and neck cancer and healthy donors by comet assay. *Mutat Res* 2008;650:55–62.
49. Lampen A, Ebert B, Stumkat L, Jacob J, Seidel A. Induction of gene expression of xenobiotic metabolism enzymes and ABC-transport proteins by PAH and a reconstituted PAH mixture in human Caco-2 cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2004;1681:38–46.
50. Shimada T. Xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes involved in activation and detoxification of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* 2006;21:257–76.
51. Georgiadis P, Demopoulos NA, Topinka J, Stephanou G, Stoikidou M, Bekyrou M, et al. Impact of phase I or phase II enzyme polymorphisms on lymphocyte DNA adducts in subjects exposed to urban air pollution and environmental tobacco smoke. *Toxicol Lett* 2004;149:269–80.